

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** Zach Downing <zach.downing@wcom.com>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO1(GTRISTAN,MPOWELL,SNES,WKENNARD),K2DOM...  
**Date:** Wed, Mar 24, 1999 4:33 PM  
**Subject:** microradio

Dear Sirs,

I am writing in response to the empowering of the Microradio Movement, I am in total support and feel that it would be a great benefit to communities, as well as people interested in small business. So count this as a vote FOR the Microradio movement.

sincerely,  
Zach Downing  
Tulsa, Ok

**CC:** FCCMAIL.SMTPNLM("charles.kennedy@wcom.com")

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0 + 1

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

From: Chase Kostecky <ckostecky@unitedad.com>  
 To: "wkennard@fcc.gov" <wkennard@fcc.gov>  
 Date: Wed, Mar 24, 1999 12:55 PM  
 Subject: ?

> Regarding NPRM # FCC 99-6, MM Docket # 99-25 & #95-25:  
 > I urge you to adopt rules for licensing Low Power FM radio that prioritize  
 > the needs of under-served and under-financed communities. Your office has  
 > the power, and the mandate, to ensure that ordinary people can claim a  
 > piece  
 > of the pie that big corporations dominate and control. I am confident you  
 > agree that broad citizen access to information and culture is at the heart  
 > of a democratic society.  
 > To support this vision, I urge you to legalize micro-radio with the  
 > following concerns in mind:  
 > 1. There should be completely non-commercial service. The current radio  
 > spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to  
 > non-commercial community groups who want to use radio to communicate, not  
 > to  
 > make a profit.  
 > 2. Licenses should be held locally, be non-transferable, affordable to all  
 > communities, easy to apply for, NOT businesses, and limited to one per  
 > license holder.  
 > 3. Power levels should be up to 100 watts in urban areas and up to 250  
 > watts  
 > in rural areas.  
 > 4. NO secondary status  
 > 5. Microbroadcast pioneers who have suffered government seizure and fines  
 > should receive amnesty, have their property returned, and be prioritized  
 > for  
 > new licenses.  
 > 6. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local  
 > voluntary micropower organization for assistance or mediation ( eg the Ham  
 > radio model). The FCC should be the forum of last resort.  
 > 7. LPFM must be included in the future of digital radio.  
 > 8. If the FCC intends to license some commercial stations, they must be  
 > licensed last. In this instance, there should be a 2 year "headstart" for  
 > non-commercial licenses. The right of citizens to communicate is protected  
 > by the Constitution and the FCC's mandate. The right to make money thru  
 > local radio is not.  
 > Thank you for your time and your consideration of these vital issues.  
 > Sincerely,  
 >  
 >  
 chase kostelecky

RECEIVED  
 MAR 26 1999  
 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd 2 + 1  
 List A B C D E

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** Joe Mabel <jmabel@saltmine.com>  
**To:** "wkennard@fcc.gov" <wkennard@fcc.gov>, "sness@f...  
**Date:** Tue, Mar 23, 1999 3:29 PM  
**Subject:** Micropower radio

99-25

I am very happy to see the FCC's recent consideration of micropower radio, but strongly urge that these new licenses not be auctioned. The last thing we need is more radio dominated by those who can afford to bid highest for licenses. Micropower radio has enormous potential to serve underserved communities, but not if the cost of getting a license swamps the other very low costs of entry.

There are many things free markets do well, but this is not one of them. The wealthy already have enough channels to make their voices heard.

-----  
Joe Mabel  
206-284-7511  
"Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain." L. Frank Baum

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 1  
List A B C D E

From: "Joseph D'Alessandro" <jdman@magpage.com>  
To: K2DOM.K2PO1(WKENNARD)  
Date: Thu, Mar 18, 1999 6:36 AM  
Subject: ?

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



PS Excuse my Spelling, and English: I suffer from Dyslexia:

Civil Liberties, Civil Rights, Equal Opportunity, and Discrimination

I FILE COMPLAINT AGAINST THE NAB: With The Department Of Justice  
BILL LANN LEE  
US Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20530-0001

Nadine Strossen  
New York Law School  
57 Worth Street, New York, NY 10013-2960  
(212) 431-2375; (212) 431-3295 (FAX)  
nstrosse@counsel.com

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Sanders vs. FCC 1940 Case Judgment:  
Free Enterprise;  
No Monopolys  
Public Interest Community First:

Sanders vs. The FCC 1940 Federal Court Judgment  
Voids The 1996 Telecommunications Act:

#### SEC. 202. BROADCAST OWNERSHIP.

(a) National Radio Station Ownership Rule Changes Required: The Commission shall modify section 73.3555 of its regulations (47 C.F.R. 73.3555) by eliminating any provisions limiting the number of AM or FM broadcast stations which may be owned or controlled by one entity nationally. This Section Is Void

#### X. State Anti-Trust Law State Of Delaware

Delaware's anti-trust statute supplements the substantial anti-trust provisions of federal law. The purpose of the Delaware statute is to promote the public benefits of a competitive economic environment based upon free enterprise. The State anti-trust law protects trade and commerce from unlawful restraints, price discrimination, price fixing and monopolies. It is not, however, intended to prohibit the existence of labor organizations and other associations instituted for the purpose of mutual help. In addition, the statute does not forbid any conduct or arrangement approved or required by a regulatory board of Delaware or the United States, or a non-profit corporation, trust or organization established for religious or charitable purposes (but only to the extent that the activity is religious or charitable in nature). The penalties for a violation of the Delaware anti-trust statute may include monetary civil penalties, equitable relief, or both. The Attorney General of Delaware may bring suit on behalf of all natural persons residing in the State for a violation or threatened

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E



violation of this statute.

Trusts ala. NAB Trust Fund and monopolies are concentrations of wealth in the hands of a few. Such conglomerations of economic resources are thought to be injurious to the public and individuals. This is because such trusts minimize, if not obliterate normal marketplace competition, and yield undesirable price controls. These, in turn, cause markets to stagnate and sap individual initiative.

And This Corrupt Group, The NAB Is Backed With Support From Congressman Billy Tauzin Of LA.

Dear Honorable Senators and Congressman ,of The great Republic of The United States:

Date March 12th.1999 the Day of Our Lord:

I Exercise My Freedom Of Expression,and Free Speech,Threw My Bill Of Rights and My Constitution of The Republic Of The United States:

To : The NAB and Ed Fritz:  
To : Congressman Billy Tauzin La. Co-Conspirator:  
To : Chief Counsel Jeff Baumann Co-Conspirator:

I Charge the NAB As Follows:

Re: Civil Rights Lawsuit Pending The NAB's Hostility In The Passing of LPFM Broadcast Service As Put Forth By The FCC in Docket MM 99-25 :

Sec. 1983. Civil action for deprivation of rights

US Code : Title 15, Section 631

Sec. 631. Declaration of policy (a) Aid, counsel, assistance, etc., to small business concerns The essence of the American economic system of private enterprise is free competition.

US Code : Title 15, Section 631a

Sherman Antitrust Act in 1890

Lawsuit For Inflicting Punishment Threw Technical Differences and,Denying Mrs.Olga D'Alessandro Legal Title to NAB Trust Fund:

Impedeing My Right To Free Enterprise, Free Speech and Obstruction,Of My Constitutional Rights,As Proposed By The FCC in The Enactment Of NPRM Docket MM-99-25 For LOW POWER Community Radio Service.

Suit Is Based on the Judgments rendered in the Sanders vs. The FCC 1940:This Judgment Sets Justification For My Suit:

The Court Granted a FCC

License On Three Main Issues:

A. Free Enterprise, The Basis Of Our Economy:

B. No Exclusive Control of a Commodity or service in a given Market. No Monopoly or Monopolys:

Sec. 2. Monopolizing trade a felony; penalty

Every person who shall monopolize, or attempt to monopolize, or combine or conspire with any other person or persons, to monopolize any part of the trade or commerce among the several States, or with foreign nations, shall be deemed guilty of a felony, and, on conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine not exceeding \$10,000,000 if a corporation, or, if any other person, \$350,000, or by imprisonment not exceeding three years, or by both said punishments, in the discretion of the court. Ed Fritz and the NAB Have Monopolized:

C. To Serve The Needs Of Your and or A Community, The Publics Interest First:

#### The Communications Act of 1934

The Act Was Intended For Community Service and its Community Members, It was not Intended for Economic Wealth, Which Ed Fritz and The NAB Have Made It Today

The basic landmark agreement between Radio and the people of the United States was established in the Communications Act of 1934 and has become the unifying thread of all telecommunications laws since then. The basic agreement was actually established in the years before.

This important law established basic philosophical principles:

The airways are public property.

Commercial broadcasters are licensed use the airways.

The main condition for use will be whether the broadcaster served "the public interest, convenience, and necessity."

The Communications Act's of 1927 and 1934 were Created for the American People, and The Communitys They Reside In:

They NAB With Ed Fritz and His Chief Counsel Baumann Have Inflicted The Following Damages Against the Public Airways The American Public Own:

A. Violations Of My Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties: And Bill Of Rights, And My Constitution:

1. To make Distinctions in Treatment:

2. To show Partiality:

3. To show Prejudice:

4. To Discriminate:

5. Dictatorship:

6. Ethnic Violations:

7. To Monopolize An Entire Market = Anti - Trust Violations Against Free Enterprise As Proposed By The FCC in Docket MM-99-25 LPFM Broadcast

### Community Service:

The Above Violations are imposed because The NAB,Has taken the Communications Act's Of 1927 & 1934,1996 Which Where Established for the American People,All The People,not Just The Rich and Wealthy,Radio Conglomerates,The NAB,or Investment Firms.The Act's where created for all people to have an Opportunity,to Compete,on a JUST AND HONEST,PRINCIPAL FOUNDATION.The NAB has Taken the Communications Act's of 1927 & 1934,and 1996 used it with Total Disregard Against 99.9 % of the Peoples Democracy.

Dear Honorable,Senators,and Congressman: I as a Constituent,Request you to hold Hearings on the NAB for Violations of the Communications act's of 1927 & 1934,& 1996 in which they have Discriminated Against 99.9% of the American People

The Communications Act's stated explicitly,3 LAWS:the NAB has Broken all 3 Laws,AS NOTED:

1.Stop Chaos: Theres more Chaos now then ever when The FCC lets the NAB have power levels of 10,25,50,100,150,and 200 Hundread Thousand Watts of Power,the purpose of the Communications Act's where for,when a American is granted a FCC Broadcast License,he is to Serve his Community's Needs And Concerns.,the Largest Urban City May require Power Levels of 6000 Watts No More,in other Words there Should be No Radio Station in the United States At this Present Moment with power Levels to Exceed 6000 Watts.the NAB,has broken the LAW for the RICH AND WEALTHY .

2.POWER LEVELS TO SERVE A COMMUNITY,with power levels of 10 thousand and up to 300 thousand Watts,they serve no body but the NAB,and keep Woman,Blacks,and Other Minoritys From Competing,in the Broadcast Industry,Thanks to shrinking technology prices, LPFM RADIO at 50 WATTS can generate an FM radio signal with as little as \$500 worth of equipment. But seeking a license from the Federal Communications Commission can require an investment of \$100,000 and or up to \$300,000

3.The FCC will Grant A FCC License Only When The Applicant,will Serve its Community,,this is the Biggest,Crime Against the American People and the Communications Act's of 1927 & 1934.They The FCC will not grant a License Below 100 Watts WHY???????,there is no REASON Except the NAB Said SO

You As My Elected Offical Need To React To This Run Away Radio Conglomerate.You Need To,Reveal to the Public,the Crimes For The Last 64 Years: By the NAB:

The American People Have A Right To Free Enterprise,Contrary To the Big Money Changers Billy Tauzin,And Ed Fritz Of The NAB and the Radio Conglomerates

Personal liberty includes the right to enter the free market of economic activity LPFM Broadcast Service, As Proposed By The FCC Docket MM 99-25-and

the free market of ideas. You have the right to participate in the free market and speak your mind freely. You have no guarantee of success in free enterprise, but you have the right to defend yourself against anyone who tries to limit or extinguish your right to free enterprise, Such as Congressman Billy Tauzin Of Louisiana and Ed Fritz of the NAB . Free enterprise built this country. Let's keep building.

**Citizens Alert**

We the American People Elected You to Supervise (our the Americans Peoples Government) Not Yours we pay you for services rendered.

Give us the Opportunity to own an Operate a LPFM Broadcast Community Business and Service,as Proposed by The FCC Docket MM 99-25

**Our nation is,**

conceived in liberty, and dedicated to the proposition that all men are created equal.- that our nation, under God, shall have freedom and that government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth,and that Woman,Blacks,Hispanics,and the Less Fortunate,have the right to Achieve Free Enterprise,and earn a Liveing in the United States Of America: As Proposed by the FCC in there Adoption of LPFM Broadcast Service,for those Citizens who want to Achieve a Community Broadcast Service and Business.

**Rep. Billy Tauzin of Louisiana**

said the Federal Communications Commission plan for so-called microradio would reduce the audience and advertising revenue of current stations and possibly create severe interference.

**Look at**

the above Statement

and Tauzin is our Represenative,he flat out tells us and the FCC sorry but you dont have any Civil Rights and you can not Operate a Business in the United States,because It will take MONEY OUT OF MY POCKETS AND MY GOOD OLD BUDDIES THE RADIO CONGLOMERATES AND MOST OF ALL MY GOOD OLD BOY ED THE MONEY CHANGER FRITZ OF THE NAB!!

This statement by Tauzin is a Obtrusive and Contrary to laws and customs of our Nation,Tauzin and the NAB are Stealing my right to Own an operate a Free Enterprise Business in the United States,they are Stealing my Freedom Of Expression,an my Civil Rights and my Free Speech ! )

Citizens Alert:  
Mr.D'Alessandro  
94 Angola Estates  
Lewes,Delaware 19958  
302-945-1554



**From:** "Ollio, Joseph P." <olliojp@westinghouse.com>  
**To:** "wkennard@fcc.gov" <wkennard@fcc.gov>, "sness@f...  
**Date:** Thu, Mar 18, 1999 12:26 PM  
**Subject:** NPRM # FCC 99-6, MM Docket # 99-25 & #95-25:

Ladies and Gentlemen-

I urge you to adopt rules for licensing Low Power FM radio that prioritize the needs of under-served and under-financed communities. Your office has the power and the mandate to ensure that ordinary people can claim a piece of the pie that big corporations have dominated and controlled for years. I am confident you agree that broad citizen access to information and culture is at the heart of a democratic society.

To support this vision, I urge you to legalize microradio with the following concerns in mind:

1. There should be completely non-commercial service. The current radio spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to non-commercial community groups who want to use radio to communicate to the constituents and their neighbors, not to make a profit.
2. Licenses should be held locally, be non-transferable, affordable to all communities, easy to apply for and limited to one per license holder; they should NOT be businesses.
3. Power levels should be up to 100 watts in urban areas and up to 250 watts in rural areas.
4. NO secondary status should be allowed.
5. Microbroadcast pioneers who have suffered government seizure and fines should receive amnesty, have their property returned, and be prioritized for new licenses.
6. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local voluntary micropower organization for assistance or mediation (e.g. the Ham radio model). The FCC should be the forum of last resort.
7. LPFM must be included in the future of digital radio.
8. If the FCC intends to license some commercial stations, they must be licensed last. In this instance, there should be a 2 year "headstart" for non-commercial licenses. The right of citizens to communicate is protected by the Constitution and the FCC's mandate. The right to make money through local radio is not a protection under the FCC's mandate.

Thank you for your time and your consideration of these vital issues.

Sincerely, J.P. Ollio

Joseph. P. Ollio

Westinghouse NPD

(724) 733-6564

WIN: 8-286-6564

email : olliojp@westinghouse.com

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

09-25

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0+1

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** "Joseph D'Alessandro" <jdman@magpage.com>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO1(WKENNARD)  
**Date:** Fri, Mar 12, 1999 9:56 PM  
**Subject:** ?

Dear Honorable Senators and Congressman ,of The great Republic of The United States:

Date March 12th.1999 the Day of Our Lord:

I Exercise My Freedom Of Expression,and Free Speech,Threw My Bill Of Rights and My Constitution of The Republic Of The United States:

I Charge the NAB As Follows:

To : The NAB and Ed Fritz:  
 To : Congressman Billy Tauzin La:  
 To : Chief Counsel Jeff Baumann:

Re: Pending Lawsuit For Inflicting Punishment Threw Technical Differences and,Denying Mrs.Olga D'Alessandro Legal Title to NAB Trust Fund:

Impeding My Right To Free Enterprise, Free Speech and Obstruction,Of My Constitutional Rights,As Proposed By The FCC in The Enactment Of NPRM Docket MM-99-25 For LOW POWER Community Radio Service.

Suit Is Based on the Judgments rendered in the Sanders vs. The FCC 1940.This Judgment Sets Justification For My Suit.

The Court Granted a FCC License On Three Main Issues:

- A.Free Enterprise,The Basis Of Our Economy:
- B.No Exclusive Control of a Commodity or service in a given Market.No Monopoly or Monopolys:
- C.To Serve The Needs Of Your and or A Community,The Publics Interest First:

#### The Communications Act of 1934

The Act Was Intended For Community Service and its Community Members,It was not Intended for Economic Wealth, Which Ed Fritz and The NAB Have Made It Today

The basic landmark agreement between Radio and the people of the United States was established in the Communications Act of 1934 and has become the unifying thread of all telecommunications laws since then. The basic agreement was actually established in the years before.

This important law established basic philosophical principles:

The airways are public property.

Commerical broadcasters are liscensed use the airways.

The main condition for use will be whether the broadcaster served "the public interest, convenience, and necessity."

The Communications Act's of 1927 and 1934 where Created for the American People,and The Communitys They Reside In:

No. of Copies rec'd  
 List A B C D E

071

RECEIVED  
 MAR 26 1999  
 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

They NAB With Ed Fritz and His Chief Counsel Baumann Have Inflicted The Following Damages Against the Public Airways The American Public Own:

A. Violations Of My Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties: And Bill Of Rights, And My Constitution:

1. To make Distinctions in Treatment: 2. To show Partiality: 3. To show Prejudice: 4. To Discriminate: 5. Dictatorship: 6. Ethnic Violations

The Above Violations are do because The NAB, Has taken the Communications Act's Of 1927 & 1934, Which Where Established for the American People, All The People, not Just The Rich and Wealthy, Radio Conglomerates, The NAB, or Investment Firms. The Act's where created for all people to have an Opportunity, to Compete, on a JUST AND HONEST, PRINCIPAL FOUNDATION. The NAB has Taken the Communications Act's of 1927 & 1934, and used it with Total Disregard Against 99.9 % of the Peoples Democracy.

Dear Honorable, Senators, and Congressman: I as a Constituent, Request you to hold Hearings on the NAB for Violations of the Communications act's of 1927 & 1934, in which they have Discriminated Against 99.9% of the American People

The Communications Act's stated explicitly, 3 LAWS: the NAB has Broken all 3 Laws, AS NOTED:

1. Stop Chaos: There's more Chaos now then ever when The FCC lets the NAB have power levels of 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, and 200 Hundred Thousand Watts of Power, the purpose of the Communications Act's where for, when a American is granted a FCC Broadcast License, he is to Serve his Community's Needs And Concerns., the Largest Urban City May require Power Levels of 6000 Watts No More, in other Words there Should be No Radio Station in the United States At this Present Moment with power Levels to Exceed 6000 Watts. the NAB, has broken the LAW for the RICH AND WEALTHY .

2. POWER LEVELS TO SERVE A COMMUNITY, with power levels of 10 thousand and up to 300 thousand Watts, they serve no body but the NAB, and keep Woman, Blacks, and Other Minoritys From Competing, in the Broadcast Industry, Thanks to shrinking technology prices, LPFM RADIO at 50 WATTS can generate an FM radio signal with as little as \$500 worth of equipment. But seeking a license from the Federal Communications Commission can require an investment of \$100,000 and or up to \$300,000

3. The FCC will Grant A FCC License Only When The Applicant, will Serve its Community., this is the Biggest, Crime Against the American People and the Communications Act's of 1927 & 1934. They The FCC will not grant a License Below 100 Watts WHY???????, there is no REASON Except the NAB Said SO

You As My Elected Official Need To React To This Run Away Radio

Conglomerate.You Need To,Reveal to the Public,the Crimes For The Last 64  
Years: By the NAB:

Citizens Alert:  
Mr.D'Alessandro  
94 Angola Estates  
Lewes,Delaware 19958  
302-945-1554

**From:** "Amber Dahlin" <dahlin@bwn.net>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO2(LPFM)  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 14, 1999 10:05 PM  
**Subject:** Low-power licensing of community radio

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-25

Dear Secretary and Commissioners,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on new regulations allowing low-power radio stations. We hope you will enact this beneficial change to licensing. Attached is a copy of our letter sent to the Secretary.

Sincerely,

Greg Markle, Station Manager  
Clear Creek Radio, Colorado  
dahlin@bwn.net

**CC:** K2DOM.K2PO1(GTRISTAN,MPOWELL,SNESSE,WKENNARD),K2DOM...

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0 + 1

## CLEAR CREEK RADIO

Clear Creek Heritage Museum  
2060 Miner Street PO Box 1419  
Idaho Springs, CO 80452

101.9 Cable - Idaho Springs  
102.3 Cable - Georgetown, Silver Plume  
Phone: 303-567-4628

Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

March 14, 1999

This letter is in response to your consideration of licensing low-powered radio stations. We at Clear Creek Radio are in full support of licensing. Clear Creek Radio is a cable radio station that has been operating for over four years in Clear Creek County, Colorado, 30 miles west of Denver. Our station is a shining example of what a low-powered station can provide, and we would be very open to working with you as a study.

Our station is nonprofit, volunteer, community-oriented radio serving the needs of our county. We are confined geologically by the Rocky Mountains, with a narrow corridor of population along Interstate 70. Few radio signals from commercial stations get in, and certainly no low-power signal would get out. Our county is made up of six old mining towns, traditionally at odds with one another, strung along the valley. We are facing some serious growth issues, and we need to unify our communities to address them. No other form of communication is as well suited to this effort as community radio.

Clear Creek Radio is funded by local businesses and supported by the community. Our operating expenses are only \$400 a month, yet we provide the following:

- variety of music by 16 deejays
- use of facilities for High School Radio Club
- local news and commentary
- children's bedtime stories
- live broadcast of football, basketball, and volleyball games
- live coverage of important public debates and forums, such as bond issue hearings
- live coverage of community events (parades, festivals, etc.)
- sponsorship of four concerts per year in City Park
- hosting of a Monday night program on political issues in the county
- an outlet for live music (which culminated in a CD of local artists, with the proceeds going to a scholarship for a local high school student)

At this point, however, we cannot keep up with the demand for programming and the needs of the community. Only half the households around here receive cable service, and people are just not used to cable radio. We need a low-watt transmitter to make our community service more accessible.

Low-watt licensing makes good sense in certain situations; it certainly would improve the quality of life and democratic citizenship here in Clear Creek County. We are a model for how your proposal will work. Please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Greg Markle  
Station Manager

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** "gershon ben-ami" <gbenami@hotmail.com>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO1(WKENNARD)  
**Date:** Fri, Mar 19, 1999 2:02 PM  
**Subject:** Low Power FM



I want to take this opportunity to express my hopes that the FCC will allow Low Power FM stations to broadcast to those communities whose radio needs are not being met by currently operating FM stations.

The community with whom I want to build a station and to whom I want to broadcast is the elderly. There is a small community where the vast majority are elderly. A 100-watt station would provide this community with special programming that would meet their special listening needs and wants.

Please allow Low Power FM broadcasting to be a strong yet small voice in our communities.

Thank you for your considerations.

Sincerely,

Gershon Ben-Ami  
Get Your Private, Free Email at <http://www.hotmail.com>

**CC:** K2DOM.K2PO1(sness)

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd 0+1  
LISTA BODE



**From:** Chuck Langley <langleycom@yahoo.com>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)  
**Date:** Tue, Mar 16, 1999 11:06 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-12

Chuck Langley (langleycom@yahoo.com) writes:

Keep up the great work!

I hope Commissioners will look into what the Communications Act of 1996 is doing to local radio stations. The little guy, minorities or one station owners cannot compete for advertising dollars on the street against groups with five or more stations.

As a broadcaster of thirty years, I support your effort of Low Power FM...if we can just keep the big companies out of it completely (owning, LMA'ing, programming, or sales brokering). It should be for local broadcaster wanna be's that will serve very specific and unique audiences. Give the LPFM the frequency spacing they need.

Again, keep up the outstanding work.

D. Chuck Langle  
Langley Communications  
Consultants - Brokers  
P.O. Box 10071  
Wilmington, NC 28404  
Phone: (910)253-6808 FAX: (910)253-7436  
Email: langleycom@yahoo.com

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: 209.214.62.218  
Remote IP address: 209.214.62.218

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

071

**From:** Darrell J. Louvar <ko6ps@prodigy.net>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)  
**Date:** Wed, Mar 17, 1999 3:30 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

99-25

Darrell J. Louvar (ko6ps@prodigy.net) writes:

Hello William

I am writing to ask you for a new 10-watt micro-broadcast service with new relaxed rules and regulations. You are doing a great job at the FCC, please keep up the good work.

Thank you,  
Darrell J. Louvar  
ko6ps@prodigy.net

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: 209.156.147.189  
Remote IP address: 209.156.147.189

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0+1

**From:** Paul E. Hemmer <paul@kgrr.com>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 14, 1999 12:41 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

Paul E. Hemmer (paul@kgrr.com) writes:

I read a quote from you this weekend on Rep. Billy Tauzin's plans to change the FCC mission and structure. Your comment was: "We need change, but we don't need chaos."

I would hope you would apply that same wisdom to LPFM. It would mean "chaos" on the FM band. LPFM is a bad idea that will turn the FM band into "citizens band" and destroy broadcasting as we know it today.

PLEASE - 'we don't need chaos'!!!

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: 209.207.0.25  
Remote IP address: 209.207.0.25

99-25  
EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0 + 1

From: "Bill Oliver" <ollie@mpks.net>  
To: K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)  
Date: Sat, Jan 30, 1999 9:23 PM  
Subject: Reference 95-25

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-25

FCC PROPOSES LICENSED LOW POWER FM RADIO;  
SEEKS COMMENT ON ENGINEERING, SERVICE RULES FOR NEW SYSTEM  
(MM Docket 95-25)

Is there the possibility AM daytime stations can seek a frequency for nighttime broadcast?

Bill Oliver  
Station Manager  
KNGL-AM/KBBE-FM  
McPherson, KS  
home e-mail ollie@mpks.net

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 1  
List A B C D E

**From:** jljones <jljones@netacc.net>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO)  
**Date:** Mon, Mar 15, 1999 12:32 PM  
**Subject:** yes on fm low power

here is a vote for low power fm radio

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

99-15

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd \_\_\_\_\_  
List A B C D E \_\_\_\_\_

0+1

**From:** <MalonePR@aol.com>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO1(SNESS,MPOWELL,WKENNARD),K1DOM.K1PO1(FC...  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 21, 1999 9:47 AM  
**Subject:** No Subject

Federal Communications Commission

Attn: NPRM # FCC 99-6

Email: wkennard@fcc.gov, sness@fcc.gov, hfurchtg@fcc.gov, mpowell@fcc.gov, gtristan@fcc.gov, fccinfo@fcc.gov

Regarding the Legalization of Low Power FM (NPRM # FCC 99-6, MM Docket 99-25):

As someone who worked for one of this country's best newspapers, The Seattle Times, for 21 years, I can appreciate more than some the importance of keeping control of the media in the hands of the many rather than the few.

The Seattle Times is a member of an endangered species: privately owned and operated newspapers. In a Darwinian world, its dominance as the largest newspaper in Washington State would attest to the survival of the fittest theory. Unfortunately, in FCC's world of continually evolving mass media, there's been no contest. For the most part, in terms of creativity, quality, and

integrity, those who could be some of the most qualified combatants in the battle of the fittest have had very little opportunity to contribute to the gene pool. They haven't stood a chance competing in what has become a battle of survival in the designer jeans money pool.

That is why I am writing to urge you to adopt rules for licensing Low Power FM radio that prioritize the needs of under-served and under-financed communities. Your office has the power, and the mandate, to make sure that ordinary people can claim a piece of the pie that big corporations currently dominate and control. I am confident you agree that broad citizen access to information and culture is at the heart of a democratic society.

To support this vision, I urge you to legalize micro-radio with the following concerns in mind:

1. There should be COMPLETELY NON-COMMERCIAL SERVICE. The current radio spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to community groups who want to use radio to communicate, not to make a profit.
2. Licenses should be held locally, limited to one per license holder, affordable to all communities, easy to apply for, and should NOT be businesses.
3. Power levels should go up to, but not exceed, 100 watts in urban areas; 250 watts in rural areas - NO 1,000 watt stations.
4. NO secondary status for Low Power FM. This ensures that LPFM stations won't get bumped from their assigned frequency by higher-powered, better-financed stations at a later date.
5. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local voluntary micro-radio organization for assistance or mediation (eg the successful amateur radio model). The FCC should be brought in as the last resort.
6. Low Power FM must be included in the future of the emerging digital radio technology.
7. If the FCC intends to license some commercial stations, they must be licensed last. In this instance, there should be a 2 year "head start" for non-commercial licenses. The right of citizens to communicate is protected by the Constitution and the FCC's mandate. The right to make money through

local Copies rec'd  
 List A B C D E

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED  
 MAR 26 1999  
 FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

radio is not.

Thanks you for listening. I look forward to your response.

Frances Malone  
malonepr@aol.com  
411 North 39th Street  
Seattle, WA 98103

RECEIVED  
MAY 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** <BozzBogg@aol.com>  
**To:** K2DOM.K2PO1(WKENNARD)  
**Date:** Sun, Mar 21, 1999 1:51 PM  
**Subject:** (no subject)

By all means, you must repeal the ban on "Low-Watt FM Stations."  
Also, FCC MUST NOT disqualify former violators from receiving the appropriate licenses.

Its very simple; If the ban is lifted, It would mean that I, and many of my friends would lift our ban on FM radio. We simply do not listen to it because of its turgid content.  
Don't lift the ban, and we'll continue listening solely to our CD's, cassettes and LP's.

Please disregard NAB opposition. Despite what say, they NEED, "Pirate Radio" to continue attracting audiences. I'd like to pose a few questions to NAB. Why the opposition? What is NAB afraid of? What is wrong with competition?

Again FCC, I urge you to lift the ban on, 'Low-Watt Stations.'

Thank you  
BozzBogg@aol.com

RECEIVED  
MAR 26 1999  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

... of Copleas rec'd  
List A B C D E



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** Eddie L. Groves <n/a@infoserver.fcc.gov>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(BKENNARD)  
**Date:** Tue, Mar 23, 1999 12:43 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

99-15

Eddie L. Groves (n/a) writes:

Sir, I am writing to show you support on Low powered FM Broadcasting. I would really like to see the laws changed to support this format. I live in a small community that would benefit from this kind of service. Just please don't make the regulations too tough or licensing fees too steep so that it's accessible by not just only the rich.

I would like to get more information on micro broadcasting. If you have anything please send it to  
Eddie Groves  
P.O. Box 149  
Augusta, KY 41002

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 209.209.171.163  
Remote IP address: 209.209.171.163

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

No. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0 + 1

**From:** "Starr J. Nielsen" <starrwoman@earthlink.net>  
**To:** "wkennard@fcc.gov" <wkennard@fcc.gov>  
**Date:** Tue, Mar 23, 1999 12:10 AM  
**Subject:** Microradio public comment

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

09-25

Federal Communications Commission  
Attn: NPRM # FCC 99-6 (the case number)  
Re: NPRM # FCC 99-6, MM Docket # 99-25 & #95-25:  
Dear Persons of the FCC,

I am writing as a citizen concerned about the constitutional right of free speech. I urge you to adopt rules for licensing Low Power FM radio that prioritize the needs of under-served and under-financed communities. Your office has the power and the mandate to ensure that ordinary people can claim a piece of the pie that big corporations have dominated and controlled for years. I am confident you agree that broad citizen access to information and culture is at the heart of a democratic society. To support this vision, I urge you to legalize microradio with the following concerns in mind:

1. There should be completely non-commercial service. The current radio spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to non-commercial community groups who want to use radio to communicate to the constituents and their neighbors, not to make a profit.
2. Licenses should be held locally, be non-transferable, affordable to all communities, easy to apply for and limited to one per license holder; they should NOT be businesses.
3. Power levels should be up to 100 watts in urban areas and up to 250 watts in rural areas.
4. NO secondary status should be allowed.
5. Microbroadcast pioneers who have suffered government seizure and fines should receive amnesty, have their property returned, and be prioritized for new licenses.
6. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local voluntary micropower organization for assistance or mediation (e.g. the Ham radio model). The FCC should be the forum of last resort.
7. LPFM must be included in the future of digital radio.

Thank you for your time and your consideration of these vital issues. I hope that you can personally take a stand in favor of community free speech and community building by insisting that it remains non-commercial.

Sincerely,  
Starr J. Nielsen

**CC:** "sness@fcc.gov" <sness@fcc.gov>, "hfurchtg@fcc....

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1999

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARYNo. of Copies rec'd  
List A B C D E

0+1

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

**From:** "Anne O'Neill" <aoneill@alum.calberkeley.org>  
**To:** K1DOM.K1PO1(FCCINFO),K2DOM.K2PO1(GTRISTAN,MPOWELL,...  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 27, 1999 4:18 PM  
**Subject:** Re:NPRM # FCC 99-6, MM Docket # 99-25 & #95-25

Federal Communications Commission

Attn: NPRM # FCC 99-6

445 12 Street, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-0260

Email: wkennard@fcc.gov; sness@fcc.gov; hfurchtg@fcc.gov; mpowell@fcc.gov;  
 gtristan@fcc.gov; fccinfo@fcc.gov



Re: NPRM # FCC 99-6, MM Docket # 99-25 & #95-25:

I urge you to adopt rules for licensing Low Power FM radio that prioritize the needs of under-served and under-financed communities. Your office has the power and the mandate to ensure that ordinary people can claim a piece of the pie that big corporations have dominated and controlled for years.

I am confident you agree that broad citizen access to information and culture is at the heart of a democratic society.

To support this vision, I urge you to legalize microradio with the following concerns in mind:

1. There should be completely non-commercial service. The current radio spectrum is dominated by commercial media. LPFM licenses should go to non-commercial community groups who want to use radio to communicate to the constituents and their neighbors, not to make a profit.
2. Licenses should be held locally, be non-transferable, affordable to all communities, easy to apply for and limited to one per license holder; they should NOT be businesses.
3. Power levels should be up to 100 watts in urban areas and up to 250 watts in rural areas.
4. NO secondary status should be allowed.
5. Microbroadcast pioneers who have suffered government seizure and fines should receive amnesty, have their property returned, and be prioritized for new licenses.
6. Problems, technical or otherwise, should be referred to the local voluntary micropower organization for assistance or mediation (e.g. the Ham radio model). The FCC should be the forum of last resort.
7. LPFM must be included in the future of digital radio.
8. If the FCC intends to license some commercial stations, they must be licensed last. In this instance, there should be a 2 year "headstart" for

No. of Copies rec'd 3  
 List A B C D E

non-commercial licenses. The right of citizens to communicate is protected by the Constitution and the FCC's mandate. The right to make money through local radio is not a protection under the FCC's mandate.

Thank you for your time and your consideration of these vital issues.

Sincerely,

Anne O'Neill  
351 Turk St. #504  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
tel 415-563-2453